## BURNS MCDONNELL.

## Lake Season Holiday Traffic Impacts to Capacity Planning

## TEAM CONFERENCE

## Agenda

- Project background
- Purpose and need
- Preferred alternative
- Traffic data and trends

- Safety analysis
- Traffic modeling
- Q\&A



## Project Location



BURNS McDONNELL

## Purpose and Need - Safety



- Challenges
- Short WB on ramp (railroad bridge)
- Traffic queuing on ramps
- Closely-spaced intersections
- Truck volumes


## Purpose and Need - Congestion

- 70WB to U.S. 54

- Ramp Terminals

- Lane Utilization



## Overview and Preferred Alternatives

1 I-70 Ramps

2 North/Dunn Rd.
3 I-70/U.S. 54 Interchange

4 South/Janice Ave.


## I-70 Ramps: Preferred Alternative



Preferred Alternative

- Entrance ramps extended 1,400 to 1,700 feet


## $1-70$

BURNS MCDONNELL

## North/Dunn Road: Exploration



Option \#1: Right-in/Right-out at Dunn Rd. and construct west \& east backage roads


Option \#2: Relocate Dunn Rd. and construct west backage road

## North/Dunn Road: Preferred Alternative



## Preferred Alternative

- Keep Dunn Road as-is, maintaining existing business access.
- Dunn Road has much lower traffic volumes and substantially fewer crashes than other areas of the study.


## I-70/U.S. 54 Interchange: Exploration



BURNS MCDONNELL
The team explored several solutions, including a double roundabout.

## I-70/U.S. 54 Interchange: Preferred Alternative



Preferred Alternative:
Diverging Diamond
Interchange (DDI)
A DDI would:

- Provide substantial safety benefits.
- Alleviate congestion and long turning lines that back up onto I-70 exit ramps.
- Offer the greatest cost/benefit value.


## South/Janice Avenue: Exploration

Option \#1: Janice Ave. Intersection Relocation


Option \#2: Janice Ave. Throughabout Intersection


The team explored several solutions, including relocating the intersection and a throughabout.

## South/Janice Avenue: Preferred Alternative



Preferred Alternative: includes local road improvements.

- Improve traffic flow and alleviate congestion.
- Better accommodate side-byside southbound left turns for trucks.
- Offer the greatest cost/benefit value.


## Preferred Alternative



## Study Area

- Concept Development and Access Justification Report
- Location
- ~18 miles east of Columbia
- Unique Circumstances
- Heavy truck traffic
- Lake area traffic
- Studied
- W/F/Holiday
- Opening year 2025
- Ultimate year 2045



## Safety Analysis

- 10 yr. Crash History (737)
- 3 Fatal
- 7 Serious injury
- 3 Suspected serious injury
- 109 Minor injury
- 615 Property damage only
- Of all Crashes, Trucks involved:
- 2 Fatal
- 20\% Involved heavy trucks
- 26\% Passing
- 25\% Rear-end
- $12 \%$ Out of control


Figure 2-6: Crash Data Extents

## Safety Analysis

- IHSDM
- Performance assessment compared to similar routes
- Sensitivity analysis for design options
- Has some limitations (innovative designs)
- Quick and dirty version with CMFs
- Conflict Points
- Interchange
- Janice


Angle (10) Merge (8) Diverge (8)


Angle (2) Merge (6) Diverge (6)

## Survey and Public Comments

## - Survey Respondents



- Safety compared to other interchanges


SurveyMonkey Safety Survey

- 400 responses!
- Open for about a month
- Promoted by MoDOT and stakeholder group


## Survey and Public Comments

## Top Safety Concerns

| Signing and pavement marking's to help drivers choose the correct lane or position | $38.30 \%$ | 144 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Storage for turning vehicles (to prevent vehicles from blocking through traffic) | $32.71 \%$ | 123 |
| Traffic backing down the ramps to l-70 | $31.12 \%$ | 117 |
| Difficulty merging onto l-70 from US 54 ramps | $42.82 \%$ | 161 |
| Traffic backing up at intersections north and/or south of the interchange | $46.01 \%$ | 173 |
| High speeds through the area | $15.96 \%$ | 60 |
| Poor sight lines for movements at the interchange or nearby intersections | $17.82 \%$ | 67 |
| Interactions between heavy trucks and passenger vehicles | $47.87 \%$ | 180 |
| Interactions between motor vehicles and pedestrians/cyclists | $7.71 \%$ | 29 |
| Wrong-way drivers | $8.78 \%$ | 33 |
| No real safety concerns at this interchange | $8.51 \%$ | 32 |
| Other (please specify) | Responses | $8.24 \%$ |

## Frequent topics

 in comments:- Signal function/timing
- Panhandlers
- "On ramp not long enough westbound I-70 because of railroad bridge"
- Weekend traffic peaks/ lake traffic


## Traffic Data

- Gridsmart
- Streetlight Data
- Origin-destination
- Regional trends
- Traditional Counts
- Video Observations



## Traffic Trends

- Janice
- Truck lane selection
- Closely spaced intersections
- Connecting businesses



## Traffic Trends

- Pre Holiday/WB I-70 Off Ramp
- Friday (to lake traffic)
- +7.6\% SB U.S. 54
- +14.7\% for NB U.S. 54
- +37.1\% for WB I-70 off ramp
- +0.0\% for EB I-70 off ramp



## Traffic Trends



## Traffic Trends



## Traffic Trends

- Post Holiday / Northbound U.S. 54
- Monday following Labor Day
- NBR at U.S. 54 \& I-70
- $\sim 40 \%$ influx of traffic volume



## Traffic Trends



- Typical Friday (7/16/2021)
- Typical Sunday (7/18/2021)
——Typical Friday
——Typical Sunday
burns Mcdonnell


## Traffic Trends



- Memorial Day Sunday (5/30/2021)
- Memorial Day Monday (5/31/2021)
- Typical Friday (7/16/2021)
- Typical Sunday (7/18/2021)
- Labor Day Monday (9/6/2021)
-Memorial Day Sunday
—Memorial Day Monday
-Typical Friday
-Typical Sunday
-Labor Day Monday
burns.\MEDONNELL.


## Regional Traffic Trends

- Lake of the Ozark
- I-70 WB Exit Left-Turn
- U.S. 54 NB Right-Turn at I-70



## Traffic Modeling

- Model Calibration
- Estimated trends using historical Gridsmart data
- Applied routing findings via Streetlight Big Data set
- Approximately $10 \%$ variance in turning movement to count estimates



## Key Components

- Vissim Model Results
- Typical day
- Pre-holiday

| Color Scheme |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Links (Segments) |  |
| Attribute: Speed (Avg,3600-4500,All) [mph] |  |
| $\square$ | $\leq 5.000$ |
| $\square$ | $\leq 10.000$ |
|  | $\leq 15.000$ |
| $\square$ | $\leq 25.000$ |
| $\square$ | $\leq 30.000$ |
| $\square$ | $\leq 40.000$ |
| $\square$ | $\leq 50.000$ |
|  | $\leq 60.000$ |
|  | $\leq 75.000$ |
| $\square$ | $\leq 125.000$ |
| $\square$ | $\leq$ MAX |
|  | undefined |



## Key Components

- Vissim Model Results
- Typical day
- Pre-holiday

Network Peak Hour Average Delay


## Post Preferred Selection Modifications

- Considerations to added traffic resilience
- $\sim 40 \%$ surge in NB U.S. 54 traffic
- Purposed for post-holiday traffic surge

Single Lane


Dual Lane


## Key Components

- Vissim Model Results
- Post-holiday



## Key Components

- Vissim Model Results
- Post-holiday



## D/B Solution (Added Modifications Pending)



## Thank you.



Mike Herleth, PE
Burns \& McDonnell


Tim Cope, PE, PTOE Burns \& McDonnell

