







Modeling Pile Driving during Design and Construction



Presented by:



Craig K. Kaibel, P.E. Specialty Testing Manager ckaibel@geotechnology.com



Terms

- ASD Allowable Stress Design
- LRFD Load Resistance Factored Design
- Nominal Axial Resistance (LRFD) = Ultimate Capacity (ASD)
- Wave Equation Analysis Program (WEAP or GRLWEAP)
- Pile Wave Analysis = Preconstruction Wave Equation Analysis
- Dynamic Pile Testing = High-strain Dynamic Pile Testing
- Pile Driving Analyzer (PDA)

Pile Wave Analysis

- Preconstruction
 - During design confirm designed pile is drivable
 - Pending construction confirm contractor's hammer can drive the pile
- Refined
 - Analysis based on highstrain dynamic pile testing results



Analysis Goals

- Achieve nominal axial resistance
- Drive pile to an acceptable terminal driving resistance
 - MoDOT's is 2 to 10 blows per inch (bpi)
 - ArDOT's is 2 to 12 bpi
 - KYTC's is two consecutive ¹/₄-inch, or less, in 5 blows
- Drive the piles within the allowable driving stress
 - Steel piles
 - 0.9f_v in compression and tension
 - Precast prestressed concrete piles (ArDOT/TDOT/MDOT)
 - 0.85f'c-f_{pe} in compression
 - F_{pe} + 3.0(f'c)^{0.5} in tension

751.36.5.11 Check Pile Drivability

Drivability of the pile through the soil profile can be investigated using Wave equation analysis program or other available software. Designers may import soil resistances from a static analysis program or input soil values directly into Wave equation analysis program to perform drivability.

If soil values are to be directly input into Wave equation analysis program, enter in values of sand and clay layers with specific values of cohesion or internal friction angle or just by uncorrected blow count values obtained from borings.

Drivability analysis shall be performed for all piles (bearing pile and friction pile) using the Delmag D19-42 hammer and the Delmag D30-32 - Heavy Hammer.

Use soil profiles from borings and mimic soil characteristics as closely as possible for computations or in software to perform drivability analysis of any kind of pile.

Structural steel HP Pile:

Drivability analysis shall be performed for two cases:

- 1. Box shape
- 2. Perimeter

Drivability shall be performed considering existing condition without considering any excavation/ disturbance (i.e., possible disturbance to top 5 feet of soil from MSE wall excavation prior to driving pile), liquefaction or future scour loss.

Hammer types:

Hammer used in the field per survey response (2017)					
GRLWEAP ID	No. of Responses				
41	Delmag D19-42 ¹	13			
40	Delmag D19-32	6			
38	Delmag D12-42	4			
139	ICE 32S	4			
15	Delmag D30-32	2			
	Delmag D25-32	2			
127	ICE 30S	1			
150	MKT DE-30B	1			
¹ Delmag series of pile hammers is the most popular, with the D19-42 being the most widely used.					

Pile Driving Hammer Information For GRLWEAP

Hammer usage in the field will be surveyed every five years. The above results will be revised according to the new survey and the most widely used hammer will be selected for drivability analysis.

The contractor is responsible for determining the hammer energy required to successfully drive the pile to the minimum tip elevation and to reach the minimum nominal axial compressive resistance specified on the plans. The contractor shall perform a drivability analysis to select an appropriate hammer size to ensure the pile can be driven without overstressing the pile and to prevent refusal of the pile prior to reaching the minimum tip elevation. The contractor shall plan pile driving activities and submit hammer energy requirements to the engineer for approval before driving.

Practical refusal is defined at 20 blows/inch or 240 blows per foot.

Driving should be terminated immediately once 30 blows/inch is encountered.

WEAP Model

Drive System

- Hammer
- Helmet assembly
- Hammer and pile cushion
- Pile
- Soil
 - Static resistance
 - **Dynamic Resistance**





Pile Driving Equipment Data Sheet Project: Route J Over Little Niangua River Structure Name: A8575 Pile Driving Contractor: Lehman Construction Company, LLC Contract No .: J580276 Manufacturer: Delmag Model: D19-42 Type: Diesel Serial No .: Unknown Ram Rated Energy: 43.2 kip-ft at 10.8 length of stroke Hammer Modifications: Unknown Anvil

Components

Hammer

Capblock (Hammer Cushion)	Material: Auminum Thickness: 2 inches Modulus of Elasticity (E): 530 ksi Coefficient of Restitution (e): 0.	Area: 227 in*2
Pile Cap	Helmet Bonnet Anvil Block Drivehead	
Pile Cushion	Cushion Material: <u>N/A</u> Thickness: <u>N/A</u> Modulus of Elasticity (E): <u>N/A</u> Coefficient of Restitution (e): <u>N</u>	Area: <u>N/A</u>
Pile	Pile Type: HP 12X53 Wall Thickness: MA Cross Sectional Area: 15.6 in^2 Design Pile Capacity: 252 kps Length (in Leads): Unknown	Weight/foot: 53 lbs Taper: N/A

Tip Treatment Description: Reinforced

Note: If mandrel is used to drive the pile, attach separate manufacturer's detail sheet(s) including weight and dimensions.

Submitted by: Geotechnology, Inc.

Date: 12/20/18

We reserve the right to modify specifications without notice.

Analysis Types

- Driveability
 - Gain/loss factors
 - Clay = 0.5
 - Sand = 0.8
- Bearing Graph
 - Proportional
 - Constant Side
 - Constant End
- Inspector's Chart





Apr 07 2016 GRLWEAP Version 2010

Geotechnology, Inc. Rt. TT over Belle Fountain Ditch Apr 07 2016 GRLWEAP Version 2010

Gain/Loss 1 at Shaft and Toe 0.500 / 1.000

Depth ft	Ultimate Capacity kips	Friction kips	End Bearing kips	Blow Count blows/ft	Comp. Stress ksi	Tension Stress ksi	Stroke ft	ENTHRU kips-ft
5.0	8.2	0.5	7.7	-1.0	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.0
10.0	9.8	2.1	7.7	1.3	5.815	0.000	3.78	21.8
15.0	12.4	4.7	7.7	1.5	7.623	-0.416	3.95	22.7
20.0	16.0	8.3	7.7	1.7	10.487	-0.889	4.22	23.6
25.0	93.4	13.0	80.4	11.8	22.372	-0.303	6.64	18.1
30.0	218.5	18.5	200.0	40.1	27.718	-0.205	8.49	16.8
34.0	223.4	23.4	200.0	41.4	27.485	-0.112	8.43	16.6

Total Continuous Driving Time 8.00 minutes; Total Number of Blows 343 (starting at penetration 5.0 ft)

Gain/Loss 2 at Shaft and Toe 1.000 / 1.000

Depth ft	Ultimate Capacity kips	Friction kips	End Bearing kips	Blow Count blows/ft	Comp. Stress ksi	Tension Stress ksi	Stroke ft	ENTHRU kips-ft
5.0	8.8	1.0	7.7	1.3	6.079	0.000	3.63	20.4
10.0	11.9	4.1	7.7	1.4	7.310	-0.320	3.95	22.7
15.0	17.0	9.3	7.7	1.8	11.122	-1.100	4.29	23.7
20.0	24.3	16.6	7.7	2.5	14.219	-2.202	4.70	22.7
25.0	104.0	23.6	80.4	13.7	23.290	-0.227	6.89	17.6
30.0	230.3	30.2	200.0	44.8	27.869	-0.099	8.53	16.5
34.0	236.1	36.1	200.0	46.5	27.868	-0.034	8.56	16.5

Total Continuous Driving Time 9.00 minutes; Total Number of Blows 395 (starting at penetration 5.0 ft)

07-Apr-2016 GRLWEAP Version 2010



Geotechnology, Inc. Rt. TT over Belle Fountain Ditch

07-Apr-2016 GRLWEAP Version 2010

Ultimate Capacity kips	Maximum Compression Stress ksi	Maximum Tension Stress ksi	Blow Count blows/in	Stroke ft	Energy kips-ft
50.0	17.92	1.32	0.4	5.50	20.35
100.0	22.56	0.13	1.0	6.74	17.92
125.0	24.25	0.03	1.4	7.29	17.47
150.0	25.38	0.00	1.9	7.67	17.01
175.0	26.29	0.00	2.3	7.99	16.79
183.0	26.57	0.00	2.5	8.09	16.71
200.0	27.09	0.04	2.9	8.28	16.64
225.0	27.74	0.04	3.5	8.53	16.63
250.0	28.19	0.04	4.2	8.69	16.65
275.0	28.69	0.05	5.0	8.88	16.74

07-Apr-2016 GRLWEAP Version 2010



Geotechnology, Inc.

Geotechnology, Inc. Rt. TT over Belle Fountain Ditch

07-Apr-2016 GRLWEAP Version 2010

Ultimate Capacity kips	Maximum Compression Stress ksi	Maximum Tension Stress ksi	Set in/10 bl	Stroke ft	Energy kips-ft
183.0	8.74	0.13	0.0	3.00	1.60
183.0	13.94	0.13	0.4	4.00	4.28
183.0	17.75	0.10	1.3	5.00	7.08
183.0	20.97	0.06	2.2	6.00	10.04
183.0	23.79	0.00	3.1	7.00	13.14
183.0	26.35	0.00	4.0	8.00	16.56
183.0	28.71	0.00	4.4	9.00	18.96
183.0	30.92	0.00	4.8	10.00	21.25
183.0	33.03	0.00	5.2	11.00	23.67

Is damage avoidable?



Final Thoughts

- Ram weight and stroke affects pile stress
- Piles to rock
 - LRFD Hard Rock
 - MoDOT Hard Rock
- Short piles vs. long piles
- Hammer energy vs. transferred energy



Questions

